

Theorem: [Paley-Wiener-Zygmund] $(B_t)_{0 \leq t \leq 1}$ - std. 1 dimensional BM. Fix $\alpha < \frac{1}{2}$.

Then

$$P\left\{\sup_{s \neq t} \frac{|B_t - B_s|}{|t-s|^\alpha} < \infty\right\} = 1$$

Proof: Step 1: For any $n \geq 1$ and $1 \leq k \leq 2^n$, $B\left(\frac{k+1}{2^n}\right) - B\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) \sim N(0, 1/2^n)$.

$$\text{Hence } P\left\{|B\left(\frac{k+1}{2^n}\right) - B\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right)| > \frac{1}{2^{n\alpha}}\right\} \leq P\{|x| > 2^{n(1-\alpha)}\} \quad (\text{X} \sim N(0, 1)) \\ \leq e^{-2^{n(1-2\alpha)} \cdot \frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\Rightarrow P\left\{\max_{1 \leq k \leq 2^n} |B\left(\frac{k+1}{2^n}\right) - B\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right)| > \frac{1}{2^{n\alpha}}\right\} \leq 2^n e^{-2^{n(1-2\alpha)} - 1}$$

which is summable, i.e.,

$$\sum_n P\left\{\max_{1 \leq k \leq 2^n} |B\left(\frac{k+1}{2^n}\right) - B\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right)| > \frac{1}{2^{n\alpha}}\right\} < \infty$$

$$\Rightarrow \exists N(\omega) \text{ s.t. } P\{N(\omega) < \infty\} = 1 \text{ and}$$

$$|B\left(\frac{k+1}{2^n}\right) - B\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right)| \leq \frac{1}{2^{n\alpha}} \quad \forall n \geq N(\omega) \\ \forall 1 \leq k \leq 2^n$$

$$\text{Set } C(\omega) = \max_{n \leq N(\omega)} \max_{1 \leq k \leq 2^n} 2^{n\alpha} |B\left(\frac{k+1}{2^n}\right) - B\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right)|$$

so that

$$(*) \rightarrow P\{C(\omega) < \infty\} = 1 \text{ and } |B\left(\frac{k+1}{2^n}\right) - B\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right)| \leq \frac{C(\omega)}{2^{n\alpha}} \quad \begin{matrix} \forall n \geq 1 \\ \forall 1 \leq k \leq 2^n \end{matrix}$$

Step 2: Now consider any $0 \leq t < s \leq 1$.

Let $2^{m_0} < s-t < 2^{m_0+1}$. Then there is

at least one (and at most two) dyadic $u_0 = \frac{k_0}{2^{m_0}} \in [s, t]$

Fix one such u_0

Consider the interval $[u_0, s]$.

$$u_0 = \frac{k_0}{2^{m_0}} = \frac{2^l k_0}{2^{m_0+l}} \quad \text{for } l=0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Then pick the smallest $l \geq 0$ such that $\frac{2^l k_0 + 1}{2^{m_0+l}} \leq s$

$$\text{Define } u_1 = \frac{k_1}{2^{m_1}} \quad \text{where} \quad m_1 = m_0 + l \\ k_1 = 2^l k_0 + 1$$

Note that $m_1 \geq m_0$ ($l \geq 0$) and equality could hold at this first step.

$$\text{Next note that } u_1 = \frac{2^l k_1}{2^{m_1+l}} \quad \text{if } l=0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and pick the smallest $l \geq 0$ such that $\frac{2^l k_1 + 1}{2^{m_1+l}} \leq s$

$$\text{Set } u_2 = \frac{k_2}{2^{m_2}} \quad \text{where} \quad m_2 = m_1 + l \\ k_2 = 2^l k_1 + 1$$

Now we claim that $l \geq 1$ and hence $m_2 > m_1$. This

is because, if $l=0$ then $u_1 = \frac{k_1}{2^{m_1}}$, $u_2 = \frac{k_2}{2^{m_1}}$ and by picking an even number $k_1 \leq 2j \leq k_2$ we get a dyadic $\frac{2j}{2^{m_1}} = \frac{j}{2^{m_1-1}}$ which is between u_0 and s . This means we ~~could~~ have picked a dyadic with smaller m_1 in the first step (Needs some thought)

Thus, successively, having picked $u_j = \frac{k_j}{2^{m_j}}$, $1 \leq j \leq p-1$, we ~~pick~~ write

$$u_{p-1} = \frac{2^l k_{p-1}}{2^{m_{p-1}}} \quad \text{for } l=0, 1, 2, \dots \quad \text{and pick the smallest } l$$

for which $\frac{2^l k_{p-1} + 1}{2^{m_{p-1}+l}} \leq s$. We then set

$$u_p = \frac{k_p}{2^{m_p}} \quad \text{where} \quad k_p = 2^l k_{p-1} + 1 \\ m_p = m_{p-1} + l$$

If $l=0$, then it means that one of u_{p-1} or u_p ~~for something in~~

is a dyadic of denominator $m_{p-1} - 1$ which contradicts our choice of u_{p-1}, \dots (needs some thought!)

Thus we get $t \leq u_0 < u_1 < \dots \leq s$ (the procedure terminates if $u_p = s$ for some p). Either the procedure terminates or $u_p \rightarrow s$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

Step 3: ~~$B_w(s) - B_w(u_0)$~~ $B_w(s) - B_w(u_0) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} B(u_k) - B(u_{k-1})$

and for each ~~u_j~~ , $u_{j+1} = \frac{k_{j+1}}{2^{m_{j+1}}} = \frac{l_{j+1}}{2^{m_j}}$ ($l_{j+1} = k_{j+1} 2^{m_j - m_{j+1}}$)
and $u_j = \frac{k_j}{2^{m_j}}$ where $k_j = l_{j+1} + 1$

Therefore, by (1), we get $|B_w(u_j) - B_w(u_{j+1})| \leq \frac{C(w)}{2^{m_j}}$

Hence, $|B_w(s) - B_w(u_0)| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{C(w)}{2^{m_j}}$

because
 $m_1 < m_2 < m_3 < \dots$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \frac{C(w)}{2^{m_0}} \cdot \left(1 + \frac{1}{2^\alpha} + \frac{1}{2^{2\alpha}} + \dots \right) \\ &= \frac{C(w)}{2^{m_0}} \quad \left(\because C'(w) = \frac{C(w)}{1 - 1/2^\alpha} \right) \\ &\leq C'(w) (s-t)^\alpha \quad (\because 2^{m_0} < s-t) \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, one can prove that $|B_w(u_0) - B_w(t)| \leq C(w) (s-t)^\alpha$

Thus, $|B_w(s) - B_w(t)| \leq 2C'(w) \cdot (s-t)^\alpha$

This is true for all s, t . Hence the theorem is proved. \blacksquare

Remark: Suppose we try to prove that $P\left\{ \sup_{\substack{s \neq t \\ s < t}} \frac{|B_t - B_s|}{\sqrt{(t-s) \log(\frac{1}{t-s})}} < \infty \right\} = 1$.

P.T.O.

Then, the first step changes a little and we get

$$P\left\{ \left| B\left(\frac{k+1}{2^n}\right) - B\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) \right| > \frac{c\sqrt{\log 2^n}}{\sqrt{2^n} \sqrt{\log 2^n}} \right\}$$

$$= P\left\{ |X| > c\sqrt{\log 2^n} \right\} \leq e^{-\frac{c^2}{2} \log 2^n}$$

Hence $\sum_{k=1}^{2^n-1} 2^n e^{-\frac{c^2}{2} \log 2^n}$ is summable
if $c > \sqrt{2}$

and we get the following analogue of (*)

For $c > \sqrt{2}$, $\exists N(\omega)$ s.t $P\{N(\omega) < \infty\} = 1$ and

$$(**) \quad \left| B\left(\frac{k+1}{2^n}\right) - B\left(\frac{k}{2^n}\right) \right| \leq \frac{c\sqrt{\log 2^n}}{\sqrt{2^n}} \quad \forall n \geq N(\omega)$$

One can then work the same way as before to prove

that $|B(t) - B(s)| \leq c' \sqrt{(t-s)} \log \frac{1}{t-s} \quad \forall 0 < t \leq 1$

for some (random) constant c'

This is one half of (a weaker version of) Lebesgue modulus of continuity.